IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

CURTIS E. CRAWFORD,

Petitioner,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:06-cv-00269

SOMMERSET COUNTY PROBATION, Sommersville, New Jersey,

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before the Court are Petitioner's Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Docket 1] and Petitioner's Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* [Docket 3]. By Standing Order entered on July 21, 2004, and filed in this case on April 10, 2006, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge R. Clarke VanDervort for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation (PF&R). On April 17, 2006, the case was reassigned to the undersigned District Judge. Magistrate Judge VanDervort filed his PF&R [Docket 9] on June 16, 2009, recommending that this Court **DENY** the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, **DISMISS AS MOOT** the application for writ of habeas corpus and remove matter from the Court's docket.

The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's

Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir.1989);

United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). In addition, this Court need not conduct

a de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court

to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." Orpiano v.

Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections in the instant case were due on July 6, 2009.

To date, no objections have been filed.

Accordingly the Court **ADOPTS** the recommendation contained in the PF&R [Docket 9],

DENIES Petitioner's Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis [Docket 3], **DISMISSES AS**

MOOT Petitioner's Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Docket 1], and DIRECTS the Clerk

to remove the matter from the Court's docket. A separate Judgment Order will enter this day

implementing the rulings contained herein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any

unrepresented party.

ENTER:

July 8, 2009

ΓΗΌΜΑS E. JOHNSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-2-